

MODIFIED FORM A FOR SUCs-PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES (SUCs)

NAME OF SUC: UNIVERSITY OF RIZAL SYSTEM

I.

FY 2018 PERFORMANCE TARGETS/ OUTPUT INDICATORS	DEPARTMENT / AGENCY FY 2018 TARGET (1)	DEPARTMENT / AGENCY FY 2018 ACCOMPLISHMENT (2)	ACCOMPLISHMENT RATE (3)	REMARKS (4)
Program 1: HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM				
2018 Budget				
Outcome Indicator 1: Percentage of first-time licensure exam- takers that pass the licensure exams	52%	55.69%	107.10%	870 first time licensure exam passers out of 1,562 first time licensure exam takers was achieved by URS graduates in various PRC regulated programs
Outcome Indicator 2: Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	20%	20%	100%	916 out of the 4580 graduates are employed
Output indicator 1: Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	96%	98.86%	102.98%	27,456 undergraduate students from a total of 27,774 are enrolled in priority/mandated programs. Those who enrolled in short-term Technical-Vocational and Pre-Baccalaureate courses were not counted as enrollees in priority courses.
Output indicator 2: Percentage of undergraduate programs	76%	94.12%	123.84%	64 programs were accredited by AACUP out of the 69 undergraduate programs offered

with accreditation				
Program 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM				
2018 Budget				
Outcome Indicator 1: Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:				
a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D)	37%	33.33%	90.08%	30 out of 90 Professorial Lecturers are pursuing advanced research degree
b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research)	45%	48.89%	108.64%	44 out of 90 Professorial Lecturers are actively pursuing research in the last three (3) years
c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	3%	4.44%	148%	4 out of 90 Professorial Lecturers are involved in producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement
d. whose research work resulted in an extension program	11%	12.22%	11.09%	11 out of 90 Professorial Lecturers have research works that resulted in an extension program
Output indicator 1: Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs	3%	10.51%	350%	356 out of 3,387 graduate students enrolled in doctorate degree programs
Output indicator 2: Percentage of accredited	15%	90.48%	603.20%	19 out of 21 programs offered in the graduate


graduate programs				schools are either Level 1 or Level 2 AACUP accredited
Program 3: RESEARCH PROGRAM				
2018 Budget				
Outcome Indicator 1: Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	3	4	133.33%	4 research outputs in the last three years were utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries
Output indicator 1: Number of research outputs completed within the year	27	27	100%	27 research outputs were completed within the year
Output indicator 2: Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-refereed or CHED recognized journal within the year	16%	18.52%	115.75%	5 faculty research outputs were published in internationally-refereed or CHED recognized journal within the year
Program 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM				
2018 Budget				
Outcome Indicator 1: Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	11	28	254.54%	There are 28 active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities
Output indicator 1: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	3863	3863	100%	3863 persons were trained weighted by the length of training
Output indicator 2: Number of extension programs organized and supported	11	14	127.27%	14 extension programs were organized and supported consistent with

consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs				the SUC's mandated and priority programs
Output indicator 3: Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	84%	100%	119.05%	All of the beneficiaries rated the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance

Recommending Approval:


ROMMEL R. CASTRO, Ph D
Planning Officer

Date

Prepared by:

RENATO R. DE LEMON, Ph D
Budget Officer

Date

Approved by:


MARITA R. CANAPI, Ed D
SUC President

Date