FORM A DEPARTMENT/AGENCY PERFORMANCE ACCOMPLISHMENT FY 2017

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY: UNIVERSITY OF RIZAL SYSTEM ACCOMPLISHMENT REMARKS DEPARTMENT/AGENCY RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT/AGENCY DEPARTMENT/AGENCY MEOS AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FY 2017 ACTUAL RATE **BUREAUS/OFFICES** FY 2017 TARGET FY 2016 ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENT ACCOMPLISHMENT Major Final Outputs (MFOs)/Operations MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES 2017 BUDGET: 5,018 students graduated from various courses across the university 139.39% 5,018 All Colleges 4,317 3,600 Performance Indicator 1: Total number of graduates 4,232 graduated in priority/mandated programs from a total of 5,018 graduates. Those who graduated from short-term Tech-Voc and Pre-Baccalaureate courses were not counted as graduates of priority Colleges offering priority Performance Indicator 2: Percentage of total courses 103.70% 84% 81% courses 95.90% graduates that are in priority courses 1.016 board passers out of Performance Indicator 3: Average passing percentage 3.226 board takers was of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national achieved by the university in Colleges offering programs average percentage passing across disciplines covered various PRC regulated programs 99% with licensure examinations 99% 100% 95% by the SUC 31 programs were Level 1 accredited by AACCUP out of Colleges with programs the 45 programs qualified for scheduled for Level 1 Performance Indicator 4: Percentage of programs Level 1 accreditation 205.39% accreditation 57.51% 28% 67% accedited at Level 1 11 programs were Level 2 accredited by AACCUP out of Colleges with programs the 42 programs qualified for scheduled for Level 2 Performance Indicator 5: Percentage of programs Level 2 accreditation 143.69% accreditation 18.68% 13% 26% accedited at Level 2 10 programs were Level 3 accredited by AACCUP out of Colleges with programs the 21 programs qualified for scheduled for Level 3 Performance Indicator 6: Percentage of programs

accreditation

19%

23%

Level 3 accreditation

120.74%

22.94%

accedited at Level 3

Performance Indicator 7: Percentage of graduates		T				
who finished academic programs according to the						94.32% of graduating studen
prescribed timeframe.	96.86%	86%	All Colleges	94.32%	109.67%	were able to graduate
MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES				J 110270	103.0770	were able to graduate
2017 BUDGET:						
						83 graduate school students
			Campuses with Graduate			were able to finish their
Performance Indicator 1: Total number of graduates	95	92	Program offering	83	90.22%	advanced education courses
Performance Indicator 2: Percentage of graduates						All of the 83 graduates are
engaged in employment within 6 months of			Campuses with Graduate			employed within 6 months of
graduation	97.44%	98%	Program offering	100%	102%	graduation
				100/8	10270	graduation
						All of the 806 surveyed graduat
			1			school students rated timelines
Performance Indicator 3: Percentage of students who						of education
rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as			Campuses with Graduate			delivery/supervision as good o
good or better	94.71%	91%	Program offering	100%	109.89%	better
MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES						
2017 BUDGET:					-	
						23 research studies were
Performance Indicator 1: Number of research studies			All colleges and RDEP			completed within the current
completed	26	22	unit/office	23	104.54%	year
						75 research projects out of 84
Performance Indicator 2: Percentage of research			All colleges and RDEP			were completed in the last 3
projects completed in last 3 years	54.87%	41%	unit/office	66.36%	161.85%	years
						Out of the 75 completed
Performance Indicator 3: Percentage of research			2000 22 20			researches, 34 were published,
outputs published in a recognized journal or submitted		nanatan.	All colleges and RDEP			were copyrightedand were
for patenting or patented	50%	41%	unit/office	41.57%	101.39%	patented
Performance Indicator 4: Percentage of research						61 out of the 75 research
projects completed within the original project			All colloges == 4 PDES			projects within the last three
imeframe.	81.48%	74%	All colleges and RDEP unit/office	05.00%	32221	years were completed within
MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES	01.4070	7470	diffyonice	95.33%	128.82%	the original project timeframe.
2017 BUDGET:						
Performance Indicator 1: Number of persons trained	I	10.000	All sellenes 1888			8,388 persons were trained
veighted by the length of training	3.862	2 622	All colleges and RDEP		CONTRACTOR STATE	weighted by the length of
respired by the length of training	3,002	3,833	unit/office	8,388	218.84%	training

					<u> </u>	
Performance Indicator 2: Number of persons provide			All colleges and RDEP			4194 persons were provide
with technical advice	137	144	unit/office	4,194	2912.50%	with technical advice
Performance Indicator 3: Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better	86%	86%	All colleges and RDEP unit/office	100%	116.28%	Out of the 4,194 trainees surveyed , 1,858 and 2,336 rated the training as better ar best respectively
Performance Indicator 4: Percentage of clients who			All colleges and RDEP			Out of the 4,194 clients, 235 and 3,959 rated the advisory service as better and best
rate theadvisory services as good or better	86%	86%	unit/office	100%	116.28%	respectively All of the 75 requests for
Performance Indicator 5: Percentage of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request	86%	86%	All colleges and RDEP unit/office	100%	116.28%	trainings were responded to within 3 days of request
Performance Indicator 6: Percentage of requests for technical advice responded to within 3 days of request	86%	86%	All colleges and RDEP	100%	116.28%	technical advice were responded to within 3 days o
Performance Indicator 7: Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	86%	86%	All colleges and RDEP unit/office	100%	116.28%	All persons who received trainings or advisory services rated the timeliness of service delivery as best
STO						
2017 BUDGET:						
a. QMS Certification or ISO-aligned QMS Documentation	Compliant		ISO	and the second section of the second section of the second		
b. % of faculty and Staff who are able to pursue relevant trainings/seminars/studies	65%	70.00%	Administrative Office	70.28%	100.40%	421 faculty and staff out of 599 pursued relevant trainings/seminars and studies
GASS						·
2017 BUDGET:		***************************************				
A. Budget Utilization Rate				- Control of the Cont		
a.1. Obligations BUR	95%	100%	BUDGET/ACCOUNTING	95%	95%	513445288.12/543140732.47
a.2. Disbursment BUR B. Quarterly Submission of Budget and Financial	72%	100%	BUDGET/ACCOUNTING	. 50%	50%	77164112.47/154313777.32
Accountability Reports			BUDGET/ACCOUNTING			

10 0 1 20

b.1 1st Quarter BFAR	Compliant	Compliant	BUDGET/ACCOUNTING	Submitted to DBM and posted
b.2 2nd Quarter BFAR	Compliant		BUDGET/ACCOUNTING	Transparency Seal
b.3 3rd Quarter BFAR			BUDGET/ACCOUNTING	
b.4 4th Quarter BFAR			BUDGET/ACCOUNTING	
C. Full compliance with atleast 30% of the prior years'	Compliant	Compliant	BUDGET/ACCOUNTING	5 out of 9 findings from COA were respondend accordingly

Prepared by:

Planning Officer

Date

January 31, 2018

Recommending Approval:

Budget Officer

January 31, 2018

Date

Approved by:

MO LAU. MARITA R. CANAPIJEd. D University President

January 31, 2018

Date